Evaluation of the effect of supervised plaque control after the surgical removal of partially erupted mandibular third molars on the periodontal condition distal to second molars affected by localized periodontal disease: A randomized blind clinical study

Abstract

Objective
The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of supervised plaque control on the periodontal condition distal to the second molars after the extraction of partially erupted mandibular third molars.

Materials and methods
All of the 33 patients had a probing pocket depth (PPD) of 7.4 mm (S.D. ± 1.5) and bone loss of 4.4 mm (S.D. ± 2.0) distal to the second molars. After the surgical extraction of the third molars and subgingival debridement of the distal site of the second molar, the patients were randomly assigned to a test group or a control group. The test group received oral hygiene information and professional dental hygienist treatment one month after the extraction. The control group did not receive any specific information or treatment.

Results
At six months, the percentage reduction of plaque at the distal sites of the second molars from the baseline value was 69% and 47% in the test and control groups, respectively. The PPD reduction was 3.4 mm and 3.5 mm in the test and control groups, respectively. These values were statistically significant compared with baseline (p < 0.001). The radiographic measurements found a bone gain of 0.7 mm and 0.8 mm in the test and control groups, respectively.

Conclusion
The removal of the third molar improved access for self-performed plaque control. This, together with subgingival debridement, improved the periodontal status at the second molars.
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Introduction
Population studies have suggested that the visible presence of a third molar increases the risk of periodontal inflammatory disease at second molars\textsuperscript{1–3} adjacent to both symptomatic and asymptomatic third molars.\textsuperscript{4, 5} This was also the case in young subjects (18–40 years of age) with low severity of periodontal disease in the overall dentition.\textsuperscript{1, 3, 5}

In young subjects, when the early stages of periodontal pathology are detected in the third molar region, the removal of third molars may improve the periodontal status at the distal sites of second molars.\textsuperscript{5, 7} Studies also indicate that the removal of third molars in younger individuals compared with older subjects decreases the time needed for the
extraction and decreases the risk of complications. The age of 25 appears to be critical, after which complications increase more rapidly.8

In a retrospective study of 215 patients, Kugelberg et al. found that two years after the surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars, 43.3% of the cases exhibited a probing pocket depth (PPD) of > 7 mm and 32.1% showed intrabony defects of > 4 mm distal to the mandibular second molars.9 The postoperative plaque control score indicated that in most of the participants the level of plaque control at the distal surface of the second molar was not optimal. Leung et al. showed that a regime of strict plaque control prevented residual pockets at periodontally involved second molars six months after the removal of the third molar.10 Kan et al. investigated the periodontal condition distal to mandibular second molars 6–36 months after routine surgical extraction of adjacent impacted third molars in 158 subjects under 40 years of age.11 Three possible risk indicators were associated with localized increased PPD at the distal surface of the mandibular second molar: third molar mesioangular impaction; pre-extraction signs of bone loss; and inadequate post-extraction local plaque control.11

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of supervised plaque control after the extraction of partially erupted mandibular third molars on the periodontal condition distal to the second molars.

**Materials & methods**

**Patient recruitment**

The subjects involved in this study were selected from consecutive patients referred to the department of oral surgery at Södra Älvsborg Hospital (Borås, Sweden) for extraction of mandibular third molars. The protocol of the study was approved by the Central Ethical Review Board at the University of Gothenburg (Sweden). The patients who met the inclusion criteria were informed about the diagnosis and treatment plan. They were also informed of the purpose of the study and gave their consent for participation.

In order to be included in the study, the patients had to be 18 years of age or older, have a partially erupted third molar in need of extraction, present with bone loss distal to the adjacent second molar of > 2 mm (as measured from available radiographs) and a PPD of ≥ 6 mm, but otherwise be healthy from a periodontal perspective (i.e., no bone loss of > 1 mm and no PPD of ≥ 5 mm at the residual dentition; Fig. 1a). Patients with medical conditions that could compromise healing at the extraction site were excluded.

The following clinical variables were recorded at the baseline examination by one examiner (ASP) at the distal surface of the second molars:

**Plaque index (PI):** The presence or absence of plaque was determined after staining with disclosing solution (Rondell Blue, Nordenta, Enköping, Sweden) at the distal sites of the second molars.

**Bleeding/suppuration on probing (BoP/Sup):** The presence or absence of bleeding/suppuration up to 15 s after probing was determined.

**PPD:** Pocket depth was measured in millimeters with a manual PCP-15 periodontal probe (Hu-Friedy, Leimen, Germany) to the nearest millimeter at the distobuccal, distal and distolingual surfaces of the second molars.
Radiographic bone loss: The presence of bone loss distal to the second molar of > 2 mm was assessed on available digital bitewing or periapical radiographs (Fig. 1b).

**Treatment**

**Surgical phase**
All of the patients received an analgesic prior to surgery (1 g Alvedon, Astrazeneca, Mölndal, Sweden). The treatment was performed under aseptic conditions. After local anesthetic had been administered, a mucoperiosteal incision was placed using a #15 Bard-Parker blade according to the technique described by Nordenram. Bone removal and sectioning of the third molar were performed with a low-speed rotary instrument under constant irrigation with sterile saline. After tooth extraction, the granulation tissue and follicular remnants were removed from the extraction alveolus. Correction of the anatomical architecture of the bone was performed under saline irrigation. The distal surface of the second molar was carefully scaled with hand instruments. After saline irrigation, the flap was repositioned in order to cover the alveolus and sutured with two (occasionally three) sutures (VICRYL, Ethicon, Somerville, N.J., U.S.).

After the surgery, the patients were randomly assigned to a test group or a control group by opening closed envelopes containing the group assignment.

**Postoperative adverse events**
Two patients came to the clinic before the suture removal because of postoperative pain. At this point, the extraction alveoli were rinsed with sterile saline and a prescription for stronger analgesics was given, but there was no need for the prescription of antibiotics.

**Postoperative treatment**
The sutures were removed seven days after the surgery. After suture removal, the patients in the control group did not receive any specific information or treatment. However, the patients in the test group were informed about the importance of good oral hygiene, especially distal to the mandibular second molars; furthermore, they were instructed on how to use a special toothbrush (Compact Tuft, Tepe Munhygienprodukter, Malmö, Sweden) to clean distal to the second molars.

At the one-month examination, the patients in the test group were recalled by a dental hygienist at the Department of Periodontology, who was not aware of the aim of the study. The patients received supra- and subgingival scaling and oral hygiene reinstructions and motivation if needed. Plaque and gingival bleeding at the distal sites of the mandibular second molars were also recorded in the following way:

PI: The presence or absence of plaque was determined in the same manner as at the baseline examination.

**Gingival bleeding index (GI):** The presence or absence of bleeding was determined after running the probe in the gingival sulcus distal to the second molars.

**Six-month re-evaluation**
At six months, all of the patients were recalled for a control visit. This visit was performed by a periodontist (GS), who was not aware of the group assignment. At this time, the following parameters were recorded:

PI: The presence or absence of plaque was determined in the same manner as at the baseline examination.

---

**Figs. 2a & b**
Six-month control. Clinically healthy gingival condition distal to the second molar, with a PPD of 3 mm (a). Bone-level measurements (b).
BoP/Sup: The presence or absence of bleeding/suppurative was determined in the same manner as at the baseline examination.

PPD: Pocket depth was measured in the same manner as at the baseline examination (Fig. 2a).

A radiograph, aiming to control the area distal to the mandibular second molars after extraction, was also taken at this appointment using the paralleling technique.¹⁴

Clinical criteria for healthy or diseased sites after treatment
At the re-evaluations, the surface distal to the second molars was considered healthy if there was a PPD of ≤4 mm without BoP/Sup. The presence of periodontal disease was determined based on a PPD of ≥5 mm with BoP/Sup.

Baseline and six-month radiographic measurements
The radiographs were evaluated by a second periodontist (AT), who was not aware of the study design. The bone loss distal to the second molar at baseline and at the six-month examination was measured. Thus, the distance between the cemento-enamel junction and the most coronal level along the root surfaces at which the periodontal space was considered to have a normal width¹⁵ was measured using a program for digital radiographic images (Planmeca Romexis, Helsinki, Finland) with 10× magnifying power and a precision of 0.1 mm (Fig. 2b). The presence or absence of an alveolar bone defect (i.e., a bony defect 2 mm wide and 2 mm deep) was also recorded.

Data analyses
Each mandibular second molar was regarded as an independent observation. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann–Whitney U test were applied to test the difference in PPD and radiographic bone loss within and between the two groups at baseline and the six-month examination. The Fisher exact probability test was applied to assess differences in treatment outcome in the test and control groups. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Sample size calculation
Based on an anticipated difference in mean PPD of 1.0 mm between the test and control groups and calculated standard deviation of 1.1 mm from previous studies,¹⁰,¹¹ Type I error and 80% power, the calculated sample size was 20 subjects per group.

Dropout
During the study period, four patients in the test group and three in the control group dropped out from the study; one moved and the others did not attend the six-month examination (Fig. 3).
Results

Baseline examination

The 33 subjects (14 females and 19 males) who completed the study had a mean age of 27.4 (S.D. ± 7.8; range: 19–48). Sixteen subjects were allocated to the test group and 17 to the control group. No difference in age was noted between the test and control groups. Only three were smokers, one in the test group and two in the control group (Table 1).

A total of 33 mandibular third molars (20 on the right and 13 on the left side) were examined. The majority had a mesio-angular or horizontal position (Table 1). The presence of plaque was noted at all distal sites of the second molars, and 29 of these sites had BoP (Tables 2a & b). The mean PPD at the distal sites of the second molars, based on the deepest value measured at three points (distobuccal, distal and disto-lingual), was 7.4 mm (S.D. ± 1.5), with no difference between the test and control groups (Table 2c).

The radiographic measurements at the baseline examination showed that 26 molars had bone loss of up to one-third of the root length, and 7 molars between one-third and two-thirds, while bone loss exceeding two-thirds of the root length was not recorded in any molars (Table 2e). The mean (± S.D.)/median bone loss was 4.9 (2.4)/3.6 mm for the test group and 4.5 (0.9)/4.2 mm for the control group, and no statistically significant difference was noted in this respect between the two groups (Table 2d).

One-month examination

At one month after the extraction of the third molars, only 4 of the 16 (25%) distal sites of the second molars presented with plaque (Table 2a) and 5 showed bleeding after running the probe in the gingival sulcus.

Six-month examination

At six months after extraction, 5 out of the 16 (31%) distal sites of the second molars presented with plaque in the test group, compared with 9 out of 17 (53%) in the control group, with a reduction of 69% and 47%, respectively, from the baseline value (Table 2a). The presence of BoP was recorded at 6 out of 16 (38%) in the test group, compared with 8 out of 17 (47%) in the control group (Table 2b). The mean PPD measured at the distal sites of the second molars was 4.1 mm (S.D. ± 1.1) in the test group and 3.8 mm (S.D. ± 1.4) in the control group. None of these measurements were statistically significantly different between the two groups (Table 2c). The PPD reduction with respect to the baseline value was 3.4 mm in the test group and 3.5 mm in the control group. Both values were statistically significantly different from the baseline values (p < 0.001). No difference in the healing pattern was observed between the test and control groups with respect to the presence of a PPD of < 5 mm without BoP/Sup. Only one pocket distal to the second molar with a PPD of 6 mm with bleeding was recorded in a patient in the control group.
The radiographic measurements found no bone loss in either group. The bone level (mean/median) at six months was 3.5/2.9 mm in the test group and 3.1/3.3 mm in the control group, with a gain of 0.7 mm in the test group and 0.8 mm in the control group with respect to the baseline value (Table 2d; Figs. 4a & b).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study showed that in subjects presenting with localized periodontal disease distal to mandibular second molars the periodontal condition improved at six months after extraction of the adjacent partially erupted third molars and subgingival plaque debridement. All of the distal sites of the second molars showed a clinically significant reduction in PPD and the radiographic measurements indicated bone gain distal to the second molars for both the test and control groups.

The presence of periodontal disease at the second molars adjacent to third molars in subjects with low severity of periodontal disease in the overall dentition has been reported in other studies.\(^1, 3, 5\) None of the patients included in our study had signs of periodontal attachment or bone loss at the dentition except distal to the second molar. However, considering the young age of the sample (mean age of 27.4, S.D. ± 7.8) and the radiographic mean bone loss distal to the second molar of 4.9 mm (S.D. ± 2.4), the annual rate of bone loss distal to the second molar (if calculated from the age of 17) was approximately 0.4 mm/year. This rate is comparable to the annual bone loss (> 0.2 mm/year) in subjects with rapid disease progression described in longitudinal epidemiological studies.\(^16–18\)

When early stages of periodontal pathology are detected, the removal of third molars may improve the periodontal status at the distal sites of second molars.\(^5, 7\) In our study, both the test and control groups showed relatively good plaque control distal to the second molars after the removal of the third molars. This may be related to easier access for self-performed plaque control distal to the second molars once the third molars had been extracted. The test
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In our study, the periodontal condition distal to the second molars in all of the patients (except one in the control group) at the six-month evaluation did not require additional periodontal surgical treatment.

Kan et al. investigated the periodontal condition distal to mandibular second molars 6–36 months after routine surgical extraction of adjacent impacted third molars in 158 subjects under 40 years of age. Three possible risk indicators were associated with localized increased PPD: third molar mesio-angualar impaction; pre-extraction signs of bone loss; and inadequate post-extraction local plaque control.

In our study, the majority of the patients (76%) were under 30 years of age, without compromised general condition, only three were smokers and none had periodontal disease, except at the distal sites of their second molars. Furthermore, 79% of the subjects had bone loss distal to the second molars not exceeding one-third of the root length and no patient presented with bone loss exceeding two-thirds of the root length. All of these factors could have had a positive effect on the healing pattern. The moderate bone loss distal to the second molars at baseline could also have had a positive effect on the soft-tissue healing, preventing concavity in the gingiva, which could have been a retaining factor for plaque.

In the interpretation of similar studies, it is important to distinguish between those reporting results on totally impacted and on partially erupted third molars. Moss et al. reported results from 7,000 subjects (mean age of 62) and found that the PPD at the first or second molars was significantly higher when partially erupted third molars were present, compared with totally impacted third molars. Similarly, in 52- to 74-year-old patients in the Dental
Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, the presence of visible third molars was associated with a 50% increased probability of a PPD of > 5 mm at adjacent second molars. This finding has also been confirmed in a group of 5,831 young adults (18–34 years old) in the U.S. Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, where the presence of visible third molars was associated with twice the probability of a PPD of > 5 mm at the adjacent second molars.2

Regarding postoperative events, only two patients in our study came to the clinic before suture removal because of postoperative pain. At this time, the extraction alveoli were rinsed with sterile saline and a prescription for stronger analgesics was given, but there was no need for any antibiotic prescription. This confirms the findings that the removal of third molars in younger subjects compared with older subjects decreases the risk of complications; the age of 25 appears to be critical, after which complications increase more rapidly.8 It should be underlined that in our study the removal of third molars was performed by an experienced dentist in this area of dentistry (ASP), who meticulously removed the plaque and calculus accumulated at the distal sites of the second molars.

Conclusion

In the presence of localized periodontal disease distal to second molars, early diagnosis, extraction of the third molar and debridement at the distal site of the second molar were an effective treatment of localized periodontal disease, because no additional surgical periodontal treatment was needed at the six-month follow-up.
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